UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/542,005	07/12/2005	Junya Kaku	050445	8929	
	7590 10/22/200 TOS & HANSON, LL	EXAMINER			
1420 K Street, I			LIANG, VEI CHUNG		
Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2165		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/542,005	KAKU, JUNYA	
Examiner	Art Unit	
VEI-CHUNG LIANG	2165	

	VEI-CHUNG LIANG	2165			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on October 6,2008. A brie date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.		
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for		
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
<u> </u>	21 See attached Notice of Non-Col	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)		
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): rejections made to claim 2 - 7 and 13 - 17 under 35 U.S.C. 101. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Christian P. Chace/	/Kuen S Lu/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2165	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2169			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: on page 6, regarding claims 2 - 7, the Applicant argues that the amended limitation, e.g. the data-storage medium, recited in claims 2 - 7, and 13 - 17 are to put these claims in the condition to overcome 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection.

As to the above argument, the examiner respectfully submits that the amended limitations have overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101. However, such amendments are not directed to the inventive subject matter; such that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 made in the Final Action mailed in June 6, 2008 are proper and maintained.